# ⚕️⚛️ Dear to– A Different Ending

2088z45c)⚕️⚛️ Dear to– **A Different Ending** [12 Feb 2025]
By **Nick Ray Ball**

###### Wednesday – 17:00 GMT – February 12, 2025

### **GP-AI Gatekeeper – The Movie**

🎬 **GP-AI Gatekeeper 2025 for Sir Keir Starmer et al.
**
🔗[**Watch Here**](https://youtu.be/8k-WPymDkyI) <https://youtu.be/8k-WPymDkyI>
(You and Dawn are credited at the end.)

The problem with trying to change the world when you’re lying flat on your back is that no one wants to hear you. They’ll take meetings with billionaires who have an MBA and a PR team. They’ll shake hands with venture capitalists in high-rise boardrooms, fund startups with all the right connections, and listen politely as some committee nods along to the latest AI-generated business pitch. But they won’t listen to you.

I’ve spent years trying to navigate a system designed for people who can walk into the room. But what if you **can’t**? What if you’re stuck in one place, not because you lack the ability to build something revolutionary, but because the very institutions meant to **fund innovation**—the government, Innovate UK, UKRI—are just as inaccessible as the medical system that failed you in the first place?

So, I did what I always do. I built my own way in.

Instead of wasting time trying to summarize GP-AI Gatekeeper in bullet points and executive summaries—documents that would get lost in a stack of unread applications—I made a **movie**. A **13-minute video** that distills **three decades of work, countless setbacks, and a single, unstoppable idea**: the UK **doesn’t have to accept the failure of the NHS.** It doesn’t have to wait in line while other countries lead the AI revolution. It can be **the first**.

This is my proposal, not just for Innovate UK, but for everyone who **actually cares** about fixing the healthcare crisis. **GP-AI Gatekeeper** is the solution to the NHS bottleneck—a system that cuts wait times, eliminates medical record fraud, and stops misdiagnoses before they happen. It’s not theoretical. It’s not speculative. It’s **ready to be built.**

And yet, I can’t even get a meeting.

I sent the video to Dawn Geatches, the only person at Innovate UK Business Connect I’ve managed to reach apart from Lexie (Alexandra Manuel), to whom this story is addressed. If others had tried to contact me, I wouldn’t know. My emails went down when I set up the new website—one of a hundred small technical issues that would be a minor inconvenience if I could just sit at a desk and work like everyone else. But I can’t.

So here’s the problem: **the UK government wants to lead the AI revolution, but it has no way to identify the people actually building it.** It funds projects based on **who can fill out the right forms, not who has the best idea.** And the only way to **network into these circles is to be physically present.** Attend the right events. Shake the right hands. Prove, somehow, that you’re worth listening to.

I can’t do that.

Not because I lack the ability, the knowledge, or the credentials. But because the **medical system that the government funds left me here.** Because the NHS ignored the errors in my medical records. Because when I tried to report pharmaceutical fraud, the system closed ranks against me. Because I am, at this moment, **unable to leave the room I’m in.**

And yet, somehow, I’ve built a **better plan for UK healthcare than the NHS itself.**

**This is the contradiction at the heart of the UK’s innovation problem:**
It demands “**disruptive, groundbreaking**” ideas but only funds people who fit a rigid, pre-approved template. It says it wants **economic transformation** but refuses to engage with the people who actually understand how to build it.

So I turned to the **only direct line of communication that exists for those outside the system**: **the Prime Minister’s office.**

If you are an ordinary person with an idea that could transform the UK economy, here’s your only real option:
<https://contact.no10.gov.uk/>

It’s **absurd**.

There are **five** people in government who should be paying attention to this project:
**Wes Streeting**, the Health Secretary.
**Peter Kyle**, the Science, Innovation, and Technology Secretary.
**Baroness Jones**, responsible for the UK’s digital future.
**Feryal Clark**, the MP in charge of AI and Digital Government.
And **Lord Vallance**, overseeing Science, Research, and Innovation.

All of them, unreachable.

This is where it starts to feel like **the entire system is designed to keep real change from happening.**

The NHS claims it has oversight, but the **General Medical Council (GMC) is effectively powerless** in the face of medical corruption. The **HMRC is an uncontactable black hole** that cannot assist businesses trying to navigate the UK’s tax system. The **DWP is so inefficient that benefits decisions are often arbitrary, riddled with systemic bias, and impossible to appeal.** And now, looking at **Innovate UK**, I’m starting to see the same pattern.

The UK’s **funding and regulatory bodies are not built for efficiency.** They are built **for maintaining the status quo.**

Every time a system like this fails, **the answer is never reform.** It’s never **innovation.** It’s always **more money.** More funding to **patch the cracks** instead of **fixing the foundation.**

That’s why **GP-AI Gatekeeper matters.**

Because **this is not just a healthcare project.** It is a **proof of concept** for how AI can **replace the broken bureaucracies of government itself.**

**A stronger UKRI validation process would mean:**

* No more wasted billions on projects that go nowhere.
* No more AI-generated grant applications fooling decision-makers into funding nonsense.
* No more Innovate UK projects that **never produce results.**

With **S-Web 6 VC AI CMS**, we could create a **funding system that actually works**—one that rewards real **innovation, efficiency, and results.**

And yet, **even the idea of fixing the process itself** faces the same problem: **it has to be heard first.**

I can build the future of UK healthcare.
I can design a system that stops Innovate UK from **funding failure after failure.**
I can even show exactly how this technology could **transform HMRC, DWP, and the entire UK regulatory framework.**

But I can’t **make them listen.**

So here we are.

This video—the **one you just watched**—is the best I can do.

Maybe it reaches the right people.
Maybe it doesn’t.
But if it doesn’t, then we’re left with a **very simple question:**

**How does the UK expect to lead the global AI revolution if it won’t even listen to the people who are already building it?**

## ****2: S-Web 6 VC AI CMS – A Much Stronger UKRI Validation Process****

David Farley has a suggestion for presenting new technology: **“Present your technology in a way that solves a problem that the person you are talking to has.”**

It’s simple advice but devastatingly effective—because it forces you to ask the **only question that really matters**

For years, the NHS systems have been failing—not merely in minor, bureaucratic ways, but in catastrophic, life-altering ways. That’s why **GP-AI Gatekeeper** was built: to **fix the NHS bottleneck**, to **eliminate medical record fraud**, to **stop misdiagnoses before they happen**.

But what happens when you **zoom out**?

Because once you start seeing inefficiencies **this clearly**, you **can’t unsee them**. **GP-AI Gatekeeper** was designed to **solve a healthcare crisis**, but the same **core principles** apply **everywhere**.

So now, we apply the same logic to **Innovate UK and UKRI**—the very institutions meant to fund “disruptive, game-changing” projects, but which are **themselves trapped in an outdated, bureaucratic system**.

And just like **GP-AI Gatekeeper could transform the NHS**, this new system—**S-Web 6 VC AI CMS**—could **revolutionize the way Innovate UK, UKRI, and every other government department processes applications, evaluates ideas, and validates real innovation.**

Because what’s the real **bottleneck** inside Innovate UK? **Their technology.**

And once you see the flaws in **their** system, it becomes obvious:

* **GP-AI Gatekeeper can be HMRC Gatekeeper.**
* **GP-AI Gatekeeper can be DWP Gatekeeper.**
* **GP-AI Gatekeeper can be Innovate UK Gatekeeper.**

Fix **one**, and you fix them **all**.

**Tuesday night.**

I opened the file for what would become the **DF89 series**, documenting what should have been **the most obvious solution in the world**:

Instead of spending months writing grant applications that **Innovate UK’s own system isn’t capable of validating**, why not design **a better system for Innovate UK itself?**

The difference between **S-Web 6 validation** and the current **UKRI process** is staggering.

I put together the **entire concept for S-Web 6** UKRI over **a single weekend**.

**Two days.**

Yet, if I wanted to apply for an Innovate UK competition for the same idea, I’d be forced to write **a less detailed, less useful** submission that would take at least **a month and a half**.

**One system is optimized for efficiency.**
**The other is optimized for failure.**

And **that’s the problem.**

Innovate UK’s process is so deeply flawed that **even a competition designed to fund AI innovation is stuck in a system that AI could replace.**

**Domain-Driven Design: The Key to Seeing What Others Miss**

There’s a term in software engineering called **Domain-Driven Design (DDD)**.

The idea is simple: **If you don’t understand the business, you can’t build good software for it.**

It sounds obvious. But in reality, **most software fails** because the people building it don’t truly understand **the problems they’re trying to solve**.

That’s why my software **always worked**.

Between **2003 and 2016**, I wasn’t just **writing code**—I was designing systems **from the inside out**. I ran businesses. I understood them. That’s why the software I built for them **was better than anything else available**.

Later, when I read **David Farley’s book**, I saw how **engineering principles** could be applied to **strengthen that foundation**.

This is why **GP-AI Gatekeeper works** for the NHS.

Not because it was built by **an AI researcher** or **a software engineer with no real-world experience**—but because I had **lived the failures** of the NHS firsthand. I understood the problems **in ways no doctor ever could**.

And now, the same logic applies to **Innovate UK and UKRI**.

Had you asked me a year ago to **design software for Innovate UK**, I wouldn’t have thought of **S-Web 6 Validation**.

I had to **go through the process** first.

I had to **see where the bottlenecks were**.
I had to **understand the inefficiencies firsthand**.
I had to **speak to the people inside** and figure out what they saw as the problem.

And what I found was **staggering**.

**The Flaws in the Innovate UK System: A Process That Kills Innovation**

At the core of **Innovate UK’s broken process** is a fundamental contradiction:

It’s designed to fund the **best, most transformative ideas**—but it forces applicants to **reduce those ideas to a format that guarantees the worst ones win.**

Imagine you’re trying to describe the next **Google** in 600 words.

**That’s all you get.**

Not only that, but those **600 words only account for 10% of your total score.**

The other **90% of the application**?

It has **nothing to do with your idea**.

You’re graded instead on your ability to provide a **10-page breakdown of financials, operational planning, and bureaucratic nonsense**.

What does this mean?

It means that **a bad idea with a solid process will always beat a great idea with less bureaucratic polish.**

And it gets worse.

Because **600 words isn’t enough to validate anything.**

It means **anyone** can use **AI to generate a submission that sounds impressive**—but has **no real research behind it.**

It means Innovate UK’s own competition—**designed to fund AI-driven solutions—is wide open to AI-generated grant fraud.**

It means the **entire selection process is broken before it even begins.**

### **HMRC: The Beginning of the Pattern**

This **isn’t** the first time I’ve seen a system so **fundamentally flawed** that it becomes **an obstruction rather than a solution**.

The **first time** I saw it was **HMRC**.

Their tax system was so **poorly designed** that it inspired the creation of what would become the **Total Financial System (TFS)**—part of the **Total Business Systems (TBS)**.

It was **the first piece of the puzzle**.

It was where I realized that the **entire system—across multiple government departments—was failing because of bad software.**

And now, **S-Web 6 VC AI CMS** is the solution that fixes **all of it.**

The **NHS bottleneck** was just **the beginning**.

**HMRC. DWP. Innovate UK.**

It’s **all the same problem.**

A system that is **so outdated, so inefficient, so fundamentally broken**—that its **own survival depends on people not realizing how easily it could be replaced.**

But now, I’ve **seen the pattern**.

And **once you see it, you can’t unsee it.**

### (Continued)

For all the talk about **innovation**, the reality inside **Innovate UK and UKRI** is astonishingly outdated. The very system designed to **fund groundbreaking ideas** is itself trapped in **an archaic, bureaucratic framework**—one that actively **prevents** the best ideas from ever reaching the people who can execute them.

The issue isn’t just **who gets funded**. It’s **how the system decides** in the first place.

Imagine you have an idea—something that could change an entire industry. You apply for funding. But instead of being able to present your concept in **a clear, compelling way**, you’re forced to reduce it to **text in a rigid application form**, where the most important part—**the actual innovation itself**—gets just **600 words**.

**Six hundred words to summarize a world-changing idea.**

Not only that, but those **600 words count for just 10% of your total application score.**

The other **90%?**

It’s **business process documentation**, **financial projections**, and **bureaucratic red tape**—meaning a **bad idea with a well-written application will always beat a great idea with weaker paperwork**.

And because **600 words isn’t enough to validate anything**, this system doesn’t just fail to recognize **real innovation**—it actually **invites AI-generated grant fraud.**

AI can now generate **perfect-sounding, highly polished** competition entries with **no real research behind them**. The result? The government is funding **projects that sound good on paper** but have **no actual impact**.

And it gets worse.

If you win, your **entire application vanishes into a static database.** No public record. No accountability. No transparency.

**Innovate UK’s current system is not designed to fund the best ideas.**
**It is designed to protect itself.**

### **Fixing the System: S-Web 6 VC AI CMS**

If Innovate UK were serious about funding **real breakthroughs**, it wouldn’t be using a system that **forces innovation into a text box**.

S-Web 6 VC AI CMS solves this by doing what the **current system refuses to do**:

**It validates, it visualizes, and it connects.**

Instead of reducing an idea to **600 words buried in a static form**, applicants would build **interactive web pages** that showcase their projects with **videos, research papers, technical documentation, and structured presentations.**

A judge should be able to see **at a glance** not just what an idea is, but **why it works**. Instead of digging through PDFs, they should be able to **watch a video, explore interactive models, and instantly verify supporting research.**

Right now, **the best English writers win grants—not the best ideas.**

With **S-Web 6**, that stops.

This system would not just transform **Innovate UK**—it would transform the way the **entire UK government evaluates projects.**

If applied correctly, S-Web 6 and other Sienna AI technology could replace:

* **UKRI’s funding process**
* **DWP’s benefits processing system**
* **HMRC’s tax validation framework**
* **And every other government agency that relies on outdated, unverified manual reviews**

For **the first time**, government decision-making could be driven by **AI-powered validation, real-time research integration, and transparent accountability.**

But the question is: **Will they listen?**

### **The Failure of the Innovate UK Competition Model**

Dawn Geatches advised me to **research past winners of this grant.**

But when I looked, I found **nothing**.

The records had been **erased.**

There was **no public record** of which projects had been funded. No way to verify **whether any of them had actually succeeded**.

At the same time, despite **Innovate UK’s overall funding increasing**, the **Smart Grants competition has had its budget slashed**.

If the government is pulling back on funding **this specific competition**, you have to ask:

**Why?**

The only logical answer is that, behind closed doors, they are looking at **years of failure.**

Here’s the uncomfortable truth:

* **There is no evidence that this competition has ever produced a high-impact project.**
* **The funding process is so weak that the same companies could be winning over and over again, using AI-generated applications to secure money for projects that would never be viable in the private sector.**
* **There is no accountability, no transparency, and no real incentive for these projects to ever deliver results.**

It raises the question: **Is this just a more sophisticated version of universal credit for companies?**

### **The System is Ripe for Corruption**

Right now, anyone with a **CFO and a few well-placed degrees** can feed a **pre-written AI entry into a grant-writing service like Grantify** and **win public funding with no meaningful innovation behind it.**

They aren’t building real technology.
They aren’t solving real problems.
They are **just getting money.**

The reason the **government is looking suspiciously at this program** is because **there are no results to show for it.**

Which leads to three possibilities:

1. **The process is corrupt, and funding is going to the same small group of businesses over and over again.**
2. **The process is so inefficient that no winning projects have ever been successful.**
3. **The process is so broken that they have to hide past results because there is nothing worth showing.**

**If Innovate UK had funded a truly transformative project, we would know about it.**

And if that’s the case, then **why does this program even exist?**

### **The Challenge: Prove These Figures Wrong**

If Innovate UK is serious about funding **world-changing ideas**, then let’s start with the **biggest one ever submitted to them.**

GP-AI Gatekeeper and its complementary technologies offer a projected **economic impact of £112–£147 billion per year.**

That number **is not speculation**—it is based on a direct, evidence-based calculation of:

* **The number of NHS patients who would no longer be delayed by inefficiency**
* **The number of individuals who could re-enter the workforce due to correct medical diagnoses**
* **The reduction in public spending on mismanaged healthcare**

If a **bigger, more impactful project than this has ever been submitted**, I would love to see it.

But if **it hasn’t**, then what does that say about **the state of Innovate UK’s funding process?**

And if **no one at Innovate UK can prove these figures wrong**, then the only logical conclusion is:

**This is the most important project ever submitted.**

The numbers are there.

The evidence is there.

So now, the challenge is simple:

**Try to disprove it.**

## ****3: The Full Weight of My Circumstances and the “Prove These Figures Wrong” Challenge****

### **Rewriting Chapter 3 in Full Book Format (No Headers, Just Continuous Prose)**

Looking back at our last conversation, I realise now that I failed to communicate the full weight of my circumstances. It’s a lifelong habit—keeping a stiff upper lip, downplaying things, pushing forward no matter what. But I need to be honest with you, because the truth is, I am living through an extreme, almost unfathomable situation. I need you to understand this, Lexie. My condition is extremely serious. Last night, as I was writing this letter, someone knocked at the door. I couldn’t answer it. Even the most basic tasks—getting a glass of water, moving from one place to another—are beyond what I can do without severe pain. I have a wheelchair, but I cannot sit in it. Sitting itself is agony, making even that solution impossible. And beyond the physical reality of being trapped in a single room for most of the last two years, I’ve had to navigate the digital and bureaucratic barriers that prevent me from participating in the very system that claims to champion inclusion and accessibility.

I can’t attend networking events. I can’t walk into a government office and make my case. I can’t even get to my own front door. And yet, here I am. Still working. Still pushing forward. A hundred-hour workweek, fighting through pain to build something that could change the world. I’m not asking for special treatment in this competition. I’m not looking for an increase in scores or any adjustment to the grading criteria. If the competition were based purely on the idea, I would win outright. But the Innovate UK framework isn’t built to evaluate concepts—it’s built to assess teams. And that’s where the system excludes people like me, not by intent, but by design. That aside, I need to say this clearly: I believe this project is the most significant opportunity in the history of UKRI.

And that confidence comes from one simple fact: the numbers don’t lie. The figures I’ve asked Dawn Geatches to dispute aren’t just optimistic projections—they are based on a well-researched, evidence-backed analysis of the economic impact GP-AI Gatekeeper would have on the UK. The range—£112 to £147 billion per year—is enough to fund every GP surgery in the country, build the equivalent of HS2 every year, and double the funding to UKRI. So here’s the challenge: prove them wrong. Find the flaw in my logic. Find the mistake in my calculations. Find any reason to say that these numbers are impossible. Because if you can’t, then we have to accept the only rational conclusion: this project is not just feasible—it is essential.

Of course, Dawn can only offer arguments from a mathematical perspective, but within The Ten Technologies Macroeconomic AI Design, mathematics is just one component. When I last discussed this with my ex-wife—who holds a double first from Cambridge in Natural Sciences and is also a trained accountant—she didn’t dispute the mathematical logic or any aspect of Technology 7, which in my own graphics suggests a 32x economic growth multiplier. What she presumed, however, is what most people presume—that someone, somewhere, will find a reason why this can’t happen. And for a long time, even I thought that. Until I read David Farley’s Modern Software Engineering. Until I understood that the complexity of a system is only a problem if you don’t know how to simplify it. That’s what test-driven design does. That’s what Iterative Development does.

I now know that the Ten Technologies Design can be built. It starts with GP-AI Gatekeeper. I hope you can assist in finding others within Innovate UK who are willing to take on this challenge—who are willing to try and prove that these figures are not feasible. Because at some point, when enough people fail to dispute it, someone will have to stop doubting and start building. That is, of course, if the USA or South Africa don’t offer me the opportunity first. But I have digressed. What I really need to say is this: I do not need an adjustment to the scoring mechanisms of this competition.

I need help. I need help finding the right people to talk to. I need help reaching the decision-makers who can make this happen. And I need you, Lexie, to understand my extreme circumstances. It’s not just the exclusion from attending Innovate UK events—it’s the way that exclusion cascades into everything else. I can’t attend AI conferences. I can’t meet with investors in person. I can’t even participate in local politics, where the only way to get involved is to show up in person. When I submitted my application, I hoped it would start conversations. I hoped people would engage with these ideas. But what has happened instead is silence.

Not because of the strength of the ideas. Not because of a flaw in the logic. But because I physically can’t be in the room. And that’s a real problem. Far more than race, gender, or sexuality, immobility is the greatest barrier to participation in the modern world. I appreciate that this is not part of your official mandate, Lexie. But I need you to step outside of that mandate for a moment and ask yourself: What is the point of an inclusion office if it doesn’t advocate for the people who are being systematically excluded?

I need you to be my hero. I need you to advocate for me within Innovate UK. I need you to help me reach the people I can’t physically reach. Because I am at a terrible crossroads. I am standing at a crossroads, and the path I take from here will determine not just my future, but the future of UK healthcare and AI policy. There are two paths before me.

The first path—the one I want—is the path where I continue developing the technology I have spent over twenty years designing. I want to work with Innovate UK, not against it. I want to take Sienna AI and GP-AI Gatekeeper and deploy them to solve real-world problems—starting with healthcare. The other path? It is the one I have no choice but to take if this exclusion continues. Time is running out. Statutes of limitations are closing. If Innovate UK and the UK government fail to engage, I will be forced into a full-scale legal and PR battle—one that will not end well for the institutions that failed me.

I have spoken with Panorama. I have evidence of corruption, fraud, and systemic failures. If this goes to court, the damage will be far-reaching. But that is not the path I want to take. I want to fix the NHS, not destroy it. I want to help the UK government, not expose its failings. I want to build something that changes lives, not spend years fighting to prove what I already know to be true. That’s why I am writing to you, Lexie. Because you have the ability to change the course of this story.

You can help me find the right people within Innovate UK. You can help me push through the walls that have been keeping me out. Or, you can do nothing. And the system will continue as it always has—broken, inefficient, and incapable of funding the very innovations it claims to support. But I refuse to believe that this is where the story ends.

So, Lexie—will you help me change it?

# I am here; this is where I have given up for the time being.

# Knowing I’ve spent too long on this task and that GPT 4O could not read out to me, I have stopped halfway through. It is too aggressive to send to Lexi and contains some errors, so I really need to go through it. It would take about a day or two to get this right, and it could be useful for a Michael Lewis-type critique and criticism. However, I feel that a better plan right now is to get the website up and potentially do 89 on S-Web, making that a very direct criticism that illustrates how poor their systems are. If that is ignored, then that becomes the principal point of criticism against them, rather than this, which is nowhere near as solid as the corruption arguments in the NHS, etcetera.

###### Wednesday – 15:38 GMT – February 12, 2025

Hello Sienna.
We have been working on a communication with Lexie (Alexandra Manuel), the Diversity and Inclusion Coordinator at Innovate UK Business Connect.

However, I have been unable to summarise what I want to convey in a way that is suitable for email.

Therefore, we are going to write this communication as a chapter in a book, specifically as a chapter in a Michael Lewis book, where we take every opportunity to explain and expose systems that do not work.

I have compiled six chapters from the work we were doing
1: GP-AI Gatekeeper – The Movie
Presents the GP-AI gatekeeper video
2: S-Web 6 VC AI CMS – A Much Stronger UKRI Validation Process
A very detailed critique of their limitations due to the CMS they use, presenting all the things they cannot do that we can achieve with our technology, S-Web 6 VC AI CMS. This leads to the question: should we create this as an Innovate UK competition entry, or is there any feasible way you all can simply read this document, which is far more useful than the Innovate UK application would be?
3: The full weight of my circumstances and the challenge to "prove these figures wrong" highlights the real conditions that I failed to convey effectively when speaking to her last, resulting in her not providing any assistance. During this, we present the economic benefit of £112 to £149 billion and ask her to seek out individuals who could dispute this.

3b: Bridge: The UK butterfly or legal and PR Crossroad
This section explains that I now must focus on legal Efforts that would harm the NHS or technology that would help it

4: GPT4o vs the NHS,
This explains the circumstances around how I came to realise GPT4o was superior to the NHS doctors in the first place

5: The First One Back screenplay
Presents the second video that we’ve created that exposes the criminal marketing of pharmaceutical companies and the devastatingly inefficient and corrupt mental health services
6: A Different Ending
In this final chapter I explain that whilst it looks like the screenplay is going to be about the terrible state of the NHS and criminal marketing, In 2023 I thought it would be much better to have an ending where despite all this adversary adversity I create the technology that stops this from happening to others and helps millions of people around the world.

For each chapter I have the work we’ve previously done, And I want to as quickly possible whiz through it writing in book formats so paragraphs and no bullet points, Numbers or emojis.

At the end I’m simply going to condense these 6 chapter headings into a sentence along with the video with the graphic for the youtube thumbnail and a request to speak to her about the contents of the letter that she would not have time to read.

## GPT-4o #1. Chapters Summary

### **1. GP-AI Gatekeeper – The Movie**

* Present the **GP-AI Gatekeeper video** as the entry point into the discussion.
* Highlight the NHS’s inefficiencies using the dramatic beats of your video: long waitlists, medical record fraud, misdiagnosis rates, and how **AI outperformed doctors**.
* Establish a **sense of urgency**—the system is failing, and there is a clear alternative.
* Use **Michael Lewis-style anecdotes**, showcasing real-life case studies of NHS failures and how GP-AI would have prevented them.

### **2. S-Web 6 VC AI CMS – A Much Stronger UKRI Validation Process**

* Dive into your **critique of Innovate UK’s limitations**, particularly their inability to distinguish **AI-generated proposals** from true innovation​2088z44b)⚛️📂 S-Web 6 V….
* Present **S-Web 6 VC AI CMS** as the solution that **modernizes UKRI’s funding process**, making it **AI-resistant** and capable of identifying real, impactful projects.
* Show that Innovate UK’s **current system rewards mediocrity**, often funding AI-generated pitches over genuinely disruptive ideas.

### **3. The Full Weight of My Circumstances & the Challenge to "Prove These Figures Wrong"**

* Here, you need to do what Michael Lewis does best: **personal storytelling with economic stakes**.
* Present the **£112–£149 billion economic benefit** and **dare Innovate UK to prove you wrong**​2088z42b)⚕️⚛️ GP-AI Gat….
* Detail the **real impact on GDP, healthcare efficiency, and job reintegration**.
* Bring in **human stories**—people who are trapped in the current NHS bottleneck, facing delays and misdiagnoses.
* Explain **why Lexie and Innovate UK failed to act** when you previously spoke to them​2088z45)⚕️⚛️ Dear Lexie….

### **3b. Bridge: The UK Butterfly or Legal & PR Crossroad**

* Make this **the turning point**—if they refuse to engage, you must pivot.
* Explain that you now face **two choices**:
	1. **Go full-force into legal action**, exposing NHS corruption and fighting back against fraud.
	2. **Pursue the technology pathway**, solving the NHS crisis through AI.
* Show that **ignoring your work forces you into a fight you don’t want**—but **will win**.

### **4. GPT-4o vs the NHS**

* Explain how you **discovered GPT-4o’s superior performance**.
* Use **real NHS consultation data** to prove **GPT-4o outperformed doctors consistently**​2088z23)⚕️⚛️ GP-AI Gate….
* Position this as **a paradigm shift**: doctors are valuable, but AI-enhanced decision-making **is the future**.

### **5. The First One Back – The Screenplay**

* Introduce your **next video**, exposing **criminal pharmaceutical marketing** and the **failure of the UK’s mental health system**.
* Tie in **The Good Doctor App and GP-AI Psych** as **the preventative solution** that ensures **misdiagnoses and dangerous prescriptions stop**.
* Reference the **NHS’ corruption** in covering up malpractice.

### **6. A Different Ending**

* In 2023, this story **could have ended in anger**—a legal battle against the NHS.
* But instead, it ends with **you building the solution**.
* Acknowledge the **absurdity of the situation**—why must you **fight to implement an idea that will save the UK billions**?
* **Invite Lexie to be part of the solution.**
* End with a **call to action**: a **request for a conversation** about the contents of the letter **she won’t have time to read in full**.

### **Final Execution**

At the end, **summarize these six chapters into one compelling paragraph**, attach the **video thumbnail**, and include a **short, bold request**:
"Lexie, if you cannot read all this, at least speak to me. Watch the video. Let’s have a conversation."

# ⚕️⚛️ Dear Lexie (Alexandra Manuel) – Diversity and Inclusion Coordinator – Innovate UK - UKRI

2088z45)⚕️⚛️ Dear Lexie (Alexandra Manuel) – Diversity and Inclusion Coordinator – Innovate UK - UKRI [10 Feb 2025]
By **Nick Ray Ball**

###### Tuesday – 23.45 GMT – February 11, 2025

Dear Lexie, I’d have written less if I had more time, I battled for a good 10 hours trying to condense the story into a manageable email but in the end it was much quicker to write the longer version and just present the chapter titled to you in the email and ask for a meeting because you will not have time to read this email but you would be able to have a meeting with me in which we can discuss the contents of this, this is in very much a much organised version of our first meeting when I had no idea about the competition and innovate UK, but I’m a quick learner and this is the outline for next conversation

## Sort previous content into 6 chapters

### **1: GP-AI Gatekeeper – The Movie**

Document: 2088z25c)⚕️⚛️ **GP-AI Gatekeeper 2025 – For Wes Streeting and Sir Keir Starmer**
To Complete Innovate UK Smart Grant application and the script for the video

I have **completed my Innovate UK Smart Grants application** and transformed it into an **easy-to-watch video**.

🎬 **GP-AI Gatekeeper 2025 for Sir Keir Starmer et al.**
🔗 [**Watch Here**](https://youtu.be/8k-WPymDkyI)

This video encapsulates **everything I need to communicate**. **Please watch it in full** and help me **bring this concept to the right people**—people who can **introduce it to the government, the civil service, and potential investors**.

🎬 **GP-AI Gatekeeper 2025 for Sir Keir Starmer et al.
**
🔗[**Watch Here**](https://youtu.be/8k-WPymDkyI) <https://youtu.be/8k-WPymDkyI>
(You and Dawn are credited at the end.)

(You and Dawn are credited at the end.)

You and Dawn are the **only** people I have been able to contact. If anyone else has tried to reach me, I wouldn’t know—my **emails went down** when setting up the new website.

(You and Dawn are credited at the end.)

I need your help **to present this concept to the right people**—not just for this competition, but for the **larger vision**. This is about **getting the UK government and civil service to engage with these ideas** and helping me **raise the financing necessary to move forward**. That is almost impossible to do when you are **confined to a bed, working with only one arm**.

I find it almost impossible to summarise my work without spending days on it, And it is equally impossible to try and speak to anybody in any government department, I’ve tried becoming members of the parties but you need to be physically able to walk to meet people or go to the events, I can’t so I’m excluded the only way that I have found to contact innovate UK or the government is via the direct communication to the prime minister https://contact.no10.gov.uk/

But really this should be in the hands of Wes Streeting, Peter Kyle, Baroness Jones, Feryal Clark and Lord Vallance. The latter four all are in positions to do with technology or AI. But they like the rest of the government are utterly contactable if you do not have the ability to network physically.

I’m starting to feel that, like the NHS, the GMC is rendered ineffective by significant corruption. HMRC cannot assist with inquiries on how to use their tax system, DWP It’s struggling with off the chart inefficiency and quite possibly a lot of bias in their decision making based on the gender and ethnicity of the client where white males From the South are at the back of the queue, that there are inherent issues with Innovate UK. These problems could be addressed with a much stronger UKRI validation process if efficiency is the main concern rather than corruption. Corruption within government departments is inevitable without the right checks and balances.

### 2: S-Web 6 VC AI CMS – A Much Stronger UKRI Validation Process

###### Wednesday – 16:15 GMT – February 9, 2025

Taking a note from David Farley about presenting technology, “ present your technology in a way that solves a problem” The S Web 6 much stronger validation process does exactly that instead of adapting Sienna AI to the NHS adapting it to innovate UK and UKRI itself, and at the same time as both departments use the same outdated CMS that every other government department uses the technology can be transferred to help every sector of government in exactly the same way GPAI gatekeeper could be easily adapted to become HMRC gatekeeper DWP gatekeeper and innovate UK gatekeeper for that matter)

**On Tuesday evening, I initiated the ☆DF89 series with the intention of creating a dedicated entry for the innovative UK competition regarding this:**

☆DF Sienna AI S-Web iUK UKRI Validation

☆DF89a1. S-Web-6 Validation 1 day vs 1 month
An important detail about the S-Web validation process is that it took me half a weekend to create this, yet it would take about a month and a half to write a less detailed submission for the Innovate UK competition. Nevertheless, the complete document could radically improve Innovate UK itself if only someone would take the time to read it.

☆DF89a2)
Discover whether it’s possible to enter two competitions simultaneously, but bear in mind that it may be advisable not to invest time in resubmitting the GPAI gatekeeper until we have the results from the previous one.

☆DF89a3)

DDD Domain-driven design

Start by understanding the business and then create software for it.

This describes my work from 2003 to 2016, during which my favourite project was a genuinely cloud-based software that could be described as AI. Subsequently, I read David Farley’s book, which introduced me to the academic and engineering aspects of software design.

NHS Software
By combining my innate ability to create domain-driven design software with the engineering principles outlined in David Farley’s book, I was able to develop software designs for the NHS in ways that others could not. This was primarily because I understood the issues at hand, as well as the corresponding solutions, having experienced these challenges in a way that a doctor never would. Furthermore, a doctor would not, in any case, possess the capability to design the software themselves.

Innovate UK and UKRI Software:
Had you asked me a year ago to design software for Innovate UK, I wouldn’t have conceived of S Web 6 validation. I had to go through the process of identifying the problems, understanding the constraints and bottlenecks, speaking to people there, and discovering what they viewed as problematic. I pondered why they only had such limited information; there are far too many individuals trying to apply, which makes it impossible to consider anyone’s ideas in sufficient detail to properly validate them.

But a major problem with the Innovate UK process is that they only allocate 600 words to describe the idea. They then require 10 pages of various business mechanisms that have nothing to do with the idea itself. Consequently, the idea accounts for only 10% of the score, whereas the process comprises 90%. This means that a very good idea can always be overshadowed by a poor idea with a solid process. Moreover, being limited to 600 words to describe your idea offers no opportunity for validation, meaning anyone can simply use AI to create something that sounds impressive without any substance behind it.

HMRC Software:
Going further back than that, it started with the realisation that the software in HMRC was very, very poor, which helped to inspire the TFS: Total Financial System, part of the TBS: Total Business Systems—the first part of it, actually.

*Earlier version with more detail of the paragraph that follows:
Dawn suggested I look into previous winners of this grant. But when I did, I found* ***all records of past winners had been erased****. The best projects I could find were ones that raised awareness about climate change—but none that actually provided* ***solutions****. Meanwhile,* ***GP-AI Gatekeeper and its complementary technologies offer a projected economic impact of £112–£147 billion per year****. If a* ***bigger, more impactful project than mine has ever been submitted****, I would genuinely love to see it. But if there* ***isn’t****, then what does it say about the state of Innovate UK’s funding process? What does it say about the government’s ability to recognize transformative solutions?*

Earlier, earlier version
Dawn advised me to **research previous winners** of this grant. But I quickly found that **all information on past winners has been erased**. And yet, when I examine the **current landscape**, I find **no project with a tangible solution**—only ideas that **raise awareness** rather than **fixing problems**. Meanwhile, **GP-AI Gatekeeper and its complementary technologies** have a **projected economic impact of £112–£147 billion per year**. If a more significant project than mine has ever been presented to **Innovate UK or UKRI**, I welcome the opportunity to see it. Because to my knowledge, **this is the most important project ever submitted**.

Dawn suggested I **look into previous winners of this grant**. But when I did, I found **all records of past winners had been erased**. This and the competitions funding being halved despite innovate UK’s funding being increased leads one to wonder is there some corruption afoot, Are the same companies continually presenting competition entries assisted by companies like grantify and are winning creating technology that is of no real benefit, that would never be funded without innovate UK and whilst the competition is good for finding people like me, A type of universal credit for companies?
Given that there are no results published, and every competition entry has a public display, you can see why government are looking suspiciously at this programme. Any fool with A CFO And employees with some degrees can use AI To write a winning entry, For the sole purpose of funding their business not building any sort of technology. This is the problem who got into the business of technology, It’s about getting money anyway you can as opposed to trying to benefit the country or the world.

One would presume that the lack of entries that have been successful either hints at some sort of corruption, some extreme error in process, or that the competition has never had any entries worth showing to the public so it hides its results.

This is probably what’s being said about the competition he closed behind closed doors in political circles. But at the same time, the idea of specifying topics of innovation and fun projects in that way like UKRI does is such a waste of the opportunity for everybody to work together to create something great if only there was some plan for what that great thing was.

Welcome to Sienna AI and the 10 technologies the plan of what that great thing is

**GP-AI Gatekeeper and its complementary technologies offer a projected economic impact of £112–£147 billion per year**.

If a **bigger, more impactful project than mine has ever been submitted**, I would love to see it. But if **there isn’t**, then what does it say about the **state of Innovate UK’s funding process?**

<This is a good place to stop because the next chapter title prove these figures wrong challenge immediately distils any worries one has about reading those figures>

### **3: The full weight of my circumstances and the “prove these figures wrong challenge”.**

Looking back at our last conversation, I realise now that I **failed to communicate the full weight of my circumstances**. It’s a lifelong habit—keeping a stiff upper lip, downplaying things, pushing forward no matter what. But I need to be honest with you, because the truth is, I am living through **an extreme, almost unfathomable situation**.

I need you to understand this, Lexie. **My condition is extremely serious.** Last night, as I was writing this letter, someone knocked at the door. I couldn’t answer it. Even the most basic tasks—getting a glass of water, moving from one place to another—are beyond what I can do **without severe pain**. I have a wheelchair, but **I cannot sit in it**. Sitting itself is agony, making even that solution impossible. Added to that not being able to use my right arm is extremely limiting when you’re already laying on your back to work.

And yet, **here I am. Still working. Still pushing forward, 100 hour weeks, Still fighting to create something extraordinary.**

~

I’m not looking for any increase in the scores that I would achieve from the competition. I am confident I would win if the competition weren’t based on the team rather than the concept. That aside, it is my personal belief that this opportunity is the most significant in the history of UKRI. The confidence stems from the figures I’ve asked Dawn to try and disprove/dispute. £112 to £147 billion per year is enough to fund every GP surgery in the country, build the equivalent of HS2 every year, and double the funding to UKRI.

Of course, Dawn can only offer arguments from her mathematical perspective, However within The Ten Technologies Macroeconomic Ai Design, there’s an awful lot of mathematics to dispute or to not dispute, My ex-wife has double first in Cambridge from in Natural Sciences, And an accountancy qualification, she doesn’t dispute the math logic or any other part of technology 7, (The one on the graphics says 32x growth), But she’s probably presuming that someone in another discipline will find some reason why this miracle can’t happen, And to be honest when I last discussed this with her it was before I’d read David Farley’s modern software engineering and understood that the technology could be created, I had always thought it too complex, but he has taught me that radically simplify every component without losing its output by, and by using test-driven design, so when conflicts happen we can see the problem and fix it the 10 technology design can be created. Starting I hope with GP-AI gatekeeper

I hope you can assist by finding others in Innovate UK who are willing to take on the challenge, willing to try and prove that the figures I’m presenting to you are not feasible. At some point in the chain, when it is realised that nobody can dispute this, people might say, 'Wow, OK, let’s do this!' That is, of course, if the USA, or South Africa, haven’t offered me the opportunity first. These are the countries where I have contacts or specific plans for VC’s and a way to make contact

But I have digressed, What I wanted to say was that I am confident in my entry and I do not need any adjustments to the scoring mechanisms, However I do need an awful lot of help in finding people to communicate with and I need you to appreciate my extreme circumstances I need you help me to reach other people within Innovate UK. Not just for the exclusion I have from attending innovate UK advance but how that exclusion affects everything I do from courting investors, attending AI events the exclusion I face trying to joining with local politics

When I submitted my application, I hoped it would **lead to conversations**—that people would talk to me, engage with the ideas, and we could **move forward together**. But the reality is, **I have been excluded**. **Not because of my ideas, but because I physically cannot attend meetings. Not with innovate UK but for instance with the local Labour Party, Immobility is a serious drawback far more than race, sexuality, gender and other diversity issues.**

**I appreciate it’s not in your mandate to consider circumstances outside of innovate UK, But I beg that you do, I need you to stand up and be my hero, Advocate for me, there is so much I can’t do and I am at a terrible crossroads Where logic is telling me the statute of limitations is going to be over soon and I should focus on legal, I am working with outfits like Panorama Exposing the criminality to so that I can get the medical care that I need.**

Inclusion mention the massive problems I had in 2023 when I was crippled and couldn’t do anything losing the supereconomics AI domain name not being able to get the emails up and running for a year and other things This after never really fixing my emails since 2017 I had 6 years of work that I needed to do just to catch up

### Bridge: The UK butterfly or legal and PR Crossroad

I am standing at a **crossroads**, and the path I take from here will determine **not just my future, but the future of UK healthcare and AI policy**. One path is the one I want—the path where I continue developing the **technology I have spent over twenty years designing**, applying it to **solve real problems** in the UK, starting with healthcare. The other path is one I would rather avoid, but **time is running out**. Statutes of limitations will soon force my hand, pushing me toward **a full-scale legal and PR battle**—one that will be **devastating not just for me, but for the NHS and the institutions that failed me**.

 Add to this the podcast

### 4: GPT4o vs The NHS,

**I have been through an extraordinary sequence of events that have left me lying on my back, typing with only my left arm**. With a condition that would probably be cured with an injection into my L4/L5, But hasn’t happened due to an extraordinary story that you wouldn’t believe if I hadn’t of recorded every single interaction with the doctors and then worked via AI what the actual problem was.

That's what inspired GP-AI, one doctor's mistake led to many covering up that mistake and because of the systematic now identified problem that doctors never write down anything that could point to medical negligence on the medical record, my medical record itself, is utterly inconsistent with the truth that’s on the recordings and it can be clearly shown that other doctors have made grave mistakes and committed their medical negligence themselves because of this absolute fact that doctors will not write down anything that is related to medical negatives on the medical record.

I expect I’m the only person in the whole of England who's got 100 hours of recordings of the last two and a half years of every medicine appointment and every conversation with the GP. And could absolutely show that the GP is not only negligent but has tried to cover up his and another doctor's negligence and has, and because of that, I haven’t had that L4L5 injection or any consultations of any worth about this problem. Because of this story, I’ve had to start making a separate video series to document and present this extraordinary legal story of utter negligence and corruption when I should be working on the technology, so let me share another **video series** I am creating—one that is both **part of my legal and PR strategy, and integral to the GP-AI project itself**.

### 5: The First One Back screenplay

I want to show you another **video series** I am creating.

This series is **part of both my legal and PR strategy**, but it is also **a critical component of the GP-AI project**.

🎬 **First One Back:
GP-AI Psych 🧠🔬 – Terrifying Lessons in Psychiatry**
🔗 [**Watch Here**](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N_BX1JSEWLY) <https://youtu.be/N_BX1JSEWLY>

This episode is **the second in a planned four-part series**.

It **exposes systemic failures within the NHS**, the **role of criminal pharmaceutical marketing**, and—most importantly—how **GP-AI Gatekeeper would have prevented these failures, and will prevent them in the future**.

If **GP-AI Gatekeeper is the solution**, then **this video explains why that solution is necessary**.

Given **your role**, I urge you to **watch it in full**.

This is **not just my story**—it is a **documented case study** showing how **bad science, corporate influence, and systemic medical failures destroy lives**.

**It happened to me. It is happening to others.**

**This project matters** because we now **have the tools to ensure it never happens again**.

Right now, I am working to **complete the remaining three episodes** in this series:

📌 **Episode 1: The Couple’s Counsellor** – How a confused ex-lover, misled by AstraZeneca’s marketing, set this all in motion.
Give the link to the one and a half hour Quentin Tarantino’s type story that’s true and provable

📌 **Episode 3: The Fraudulent Discharge** – A deep dive into NHS corruption between **2022–2023**.

• Exposing NHS corruption and how I recorded everything—documenting the deliberate falsification of medical records to cover up their mistakes.

Video 3. The Fraudulent Discharge:

Will the next installation in the series be made? I began recording everything due to medical meetings, and fortunately I did because the magnitude of the corruption is staggering. These companies will go to great lengths to evade legal issues. At present, I’m attempting to engage with Lucy Letby’s lawyers because it’s likely she didn’t do anything wrong, and the lack of evidence is due to them having disposed of it all.

📌 **Episode 4: The Irrationally Primed Doctors** – A forensic analysis of **25 documents** that **contradict recorded medical conversations** and reveal the **full extent of NHS corruption, prejudice, and discrimination**.

 Original longer version of video 4

Video 4: The Irrationally Prime Doctors delves into the behavioural science (psychology) of Daniel Kahneman, the only psychologist in the world to have won a Nobel Prize, in his book Thinking, Fast and Slow. The video discusses the topic of priming and highlights that, due to a forage discharge, I am lying here 2½ years after experiencing a minor twinge in my back that should have been addressed with an injection. However, I’ve received no help whatsoever. In the course of my research, I found that 25 out of 25 doctors have committed medical record fraud or have simply been negligent; this is the research presented in the GPAI gatekeeper video Act Two. I have them nailed down, and I’m about to initiate legal action.

The video will further illustrate the extreme prejudice and discrimination I have experienced within the NHS. Undoubtedly, there will be panorama and various other productions once I’ve shared my story. However, at this moment, I’m not promoting the story; I’m merely recounting it for those who need to be informed. In a way, Innovate UK serves as a judge of my intelligence, and this knowledge is essential before the press and media engage, and before we begin work on the screenplay. This is also prior to any discussions I might have with Michael Lewis regarding writing the book or developing the screenplay.

This series is a **horror story of epic proportions**—one that will **forever stain the NHS and the government that was in power at the time**.

It is meticulously documented. There is **enough evidence here for an entire season of Panorama**.

However, I am not giving this to the press just yet.

I am first preparing it as a **book proposal for Michael Lewis**, in the hope that he will **collaborate on writing the book**.

### **6: A Different Ending**

But in **2023**, something changed.

I **saw a different ending**. (unvoted in some detail in a version of the screenplay called the Virgin Martha Mitchell effect)

Instead of **a horror story**, the narrative **became one of triumph over adversity**.

Despite **everything that happened between 2011 and 2024**, despite **still enduring this reality today**, I have been **fighting through the pain, applying the 10-Technologies framework, and designing solutions that can change the UK for the better**.

That’s the ending I want.

Lexie, **can you help me write that ending?**

#### **? My Autism, My Genius, & Why This Competition Exists for People Like Me**

I admit to being likely on the spectrum, similar to Bill Gates, Elon Musk, and others, although I never possessed their genius. Until autism was significantly amplified, I might not have conceived the breakthroughs I achieved in 2018, 2019, 2020, and 2021, which solidified the S-RES algorithm and how to make it work. I liken myself to Elon Musk, but I emphasise that my government plan has been developed over 14 years; the UK should take advantage of my insights.

I meet **all** the criteria of this competition. My work is:
✅ **Game-changing** – A disruptive AI-driven solution that redefines healthcare efficiency.
✅ **Highly commercial** – A system with clear, scalable revenue streams and global expansion potential.
✅ **Technically feasible** – Built primarily on **existing capabilities of GPT-4**, enhanced through structured logic and integrations.
✅ **“Other routes of investment, why they are unsuitable”** – Private investment has been **unattainable due to extraordinary personal circumstances**—but not just any circumstances. **I am severely disabled because of the negligence and corruption of UK health services.** I **cannot walk**, and my work is **significantly slowed** without the use of my right arm to type.

**Lexie, your role at Innovate UK is to ensure people like me—who face extreme disability through no fault of their own—are not excluded from opportunities like this.** I need to talk to you because I cannot **engage with this process** the same way others can.

✅ **Aligned with government priorities** – Directly supports **three of Labour’s five key mission objectives**, delivering economic growth, strengthening healthcare, and enhancing public safety.

For much of my career, I operated on the **same kind of high-functioning autism scale as Elon Musk**—hyperfocused and prolific. Between **2011–2013** and **2017–2022**, that focus **amplified** to an extreme, making **social interaction nearly impossible**. Just as I regained balance, my medical condition deteriorated, leaving me physically incapacitated **at the exact moment** I regained my social and business capabilities.

**"This is an extraordinary individual with an extraordinary story.**
**He’s been through hell, but he’s created something truly groundbreaking.**
**We should talk to him."**

#### **Final Thought:**

**Innovate UK Smart Grants exist for exactly my situation.** I am **living proof** of why this fund was created.

If this project—with its **history, depth, and scale**—is not funded, then **what exactly is this fund for?**

This is **my moment.** This is **my chance.** And this is **your chance to make it happen.**

I look forward to speaking with you again and to **your help in connecting me with the people who can bring this directly to Keir Starmer and the Labour government—who are actively searching for solutions like this.**

You **cannot imagine** how frustrating it is to be **isolated**, unable to go anywhere or meet anyone, after **everything I endured between 2017 and 2022**. It is **immensely cruel**—and if **inclusion** within **UKRI** truly means anything, then surely it must exist for people like me.

**Lexie, you are the person to speak to within this organisation.** I desperately need to engage with **others—not just about my competition entry, but about my work and how it can help UKRI and the UK government.**

And if nothing else, I want to **use Business Connect to find businesses interested in investing in this type of technology**.

The rest of this week will be spent **handling legal matters related to everything I’ve described**. Next week, I am **writing to Microsoft and David Farley**, and I still need to find time to **reach out to Virgin and other potential investors**.

**From the beginning of next week, I would love to set up some meetings with you first of all**